Examinee Name (Print):

Form PCC12 NRMCA Pervious Concrete Contractor Certification Performance Evaluation

Company	
Address	
City, State Zip	
Location of test	
Sponsoring Group	
	Release
I hereby acknowledge that I hav	ment BEFORE STARTING THE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION e read the instructions included in on this form, and I understand the ree to comply with and abide by all evaluation rules and procedures.
defend, and hold harmless the N Sponsoring Group, and the age might result from my participatio	It to participate in this evaluation process, I hereby agree to release, lational Ready Mixed Concrete Association (NRMCA), the Local hts of both organizations, from and against any and all actions which in in this process, including harm or actions arising out of the negligence g Group, or agents of either organization.
results are invalid due to testing disclose information regarding a	ncel any evaluation or refuse to certify any person if NRMCA believes the irregularities or applicant misconduct. NRMCA also reserves the right to ll aspects of applicant's test results to those persons who applicant has releases and promises not to sue NRMCA for such actions taken in
Examinee Signature:	Date
should allow for a full width pad examinee's proficiency on a full supplement to text book review	ended for the Performance Evaluation placement, the LSG examiner of at least 10 feet length of pervious concrete with an evaluation of each range of pervious crew roles and tasks. This is considered an important for the examinee. If possible, or as may be necessary, the LSG may tion on a project (during the mock placement) or other opportunity that aluate the examinees.
this Performance Evaluation wa in the instructions that accompa	Pervious Concrete Contractor Certification Program, I hereby state that administered according to the requirements and procedures described nied this evaluation form. It is my understanding that the examinee did not rom anyone associated with this program that might have interfered with the examinee's abilities.
Examiner Name (Print) :	
Examiner Signature:	Date:
Examiner Comments:	


OVERALL GRADE ON PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

PASS | FAIL



September 2018 PCC12-1

ITEM	PERFORMANCE ITEMS	PASS (P) (PASS (P) OR FAIL (F)	
1	Did the examinee have the appropriate tools available for the placement. The following is a suggested list of needed tools • Hand screed or mechanical roller screed • Pan float • Pervious cross roller or other finishing tool, as needed • Pervious jointing tool or saw • Rakes or spreaders • Square nose shovels • Hand floats • Edgers • 6 mil polypropylene sheet • Spray can with approved surface treatments; oils/stabilizers • String line • Forms and tools and equipment to set forms • Appropriate cleaning tools needed during placement	in ity	2 nd Try	
	If alternative tools were used, it is the examiner's discretion to ensure that they would be acceptable for a successful placement			
2	Did the examinee have the proper personal protective equipment needed for placing concrete. The following is a suggested list • Hard hat • Safety glasses • Steeled toed shoes or boots • Chemical resistant safety gloves • Appropriate clothing			
3	Did examinee verify adequacy of the subgrade before placement of concrete?			
4	 Were edges correctly compacted? Did they use a tool to compact edges or step in the mix with their boot? Was the compaction adequate to prevent raveling? After tooling were edges at top of form? If not did they fill and re-edge? Were the edges and deep grooves smooth or did they torn or ragged appearance? 			
5	Were the joint locations (if used) marked on the forms			
6	Did examinee inspect pervious concrete for consistency and adequate aggregate surface coating before placing concrete?			
7	Was discharge, spreading, and strike-off completed in a rapid and consistent manner? • Did the crew place and screed the material fast enough to complete all edging, jointing and compaction while the mix was still workable?			
8	Were wheel ruts or other flaws on the prepared subgrade surface re-graded?			





ITEM	PERFORMANCE ITEMS	PASS (P) OR FAIL (F) 1st Try 2nd Try	
	T EN ONMANGE TEMO		2 nd Try
9	If a wooden strike off tool was used, was the strike off operation conducted in an appropriate motion to obtain the desired surface?		
	If mechanical roller screed was used, was a "head" of material kept in front of the screed?		
	Was a pan float used in a proper manner to prevent sealing of the surface and voids properly filled?		
10	Was level of fresh concrete kept above the level of forms before using strike off device to reduce surface defects?		
11	Did examinee correct excessively high or low areas prior to compaction?		
12	Was compaction performed in a rapid and consistent manner?		
	Were cross roller (if used) marks worked out from the surface with an appropriate tool before concrete stiffened? Was this done without sealing the surface?		
15	Did examinee clean the roller to prevent buildup on the roller (if applicable)?		
16	Was surface of the pervious concrete compacted to a uniform grade?		
17	Was jointing performed to an acceptable depth and alignment? Similar consideration for saw cut joints.		
18	Was the concrete kept moist during all operations?		
19	Was the compacted pavement adequately covered with curing sheets anchored for curing promptly after placement?		
20	Did the installer work with the concrete supplier prior to the pour to make sure the mix design meets job specification; aggregate size and shape, cement content, permeability?		
23	Did the installer have a good understanding of the required consistency of the pervious concrete discharged to ensure proper placement?		

September 2018 PCC12-3

